

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/579,738	Applicant(s) VALLERA ET AL.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1632	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Stuart MacPhail, Appl Rep.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/16/05, 5/23/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

38-43

Prior art documents discussed:

Cited art of record.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On May 16, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the cell and method of making and using claims may be allowable in view of the arguments. On May 23, 2005, Mr. MacPhail agreed to cancel the vector claims by an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)